

**TITLE 630. SCENIC RIVERS COMMISSION
CHAPTER 3. ELECTION PROCEDURES**

RULE IMPACT STATEMENT

For rulemaking hearing and adoption by the
Scenic Rivers Commission on March 17, 2009

- a. What is the purpose of the revisions?** In 2008, the Oklahoma Scenic Rivers Commission (OSRC) undertook a comprehensive review of all but Chapter 3 of its regulations. The goal was to make the rules clear and concise, and to conform to the Oklahoma Scenic Rivers Act, the Oklahoma Administrative Procedures Act and other applicable Oklahoma law. OSRC has now conducted the same review for Chapter 3, to eliminate redundancy and confusion, streamline procedures, and improve efficiency in order to better preserve the scenic rivers in their natural, scenic state. No significant changes are proposed.
- b. What classes of people will be affected, and are there any cost impacts?** These revisions are ministerial, and no cost impacts are expected.
- c. What classes of people will benefit?** Everyone should benefit from clear and concise rules.
- d. What is the probable economic impact on classes affected and on political subdivisions?** No economic impact is anticipated.
- e. What are the costs and benefits to OSRC?** These revisions will not affect state revenues, and clear and proper procedures will avoid confusion and conserve resources.
- f. Will the revisions impact political subdivisions?** No.
- g. Will the revisions have an economic effect on small business?** No.
- h. Explain the measures taken to minimize compliance costs, and are there less costly methods to achieve the purpose of the revisions?** These revisions simplify old rules and simplify procedures based on past experience. There will be no effect on compliance costs, other than reducing waste.
- i. What is the effect of the revisions on public health, safety and the environment? If designed to reduce significant risks, explain the nature of risk and its reduction.** Clear and concise procedures should help the public understand, to the benefit of the scenic rivers.
- j. Will public health, safety and the environment suffer if the revisions are not made?** Not other than from the wasting of some resources.

Prepared February 2, 2009.